The discourse dynamics of agreement class assignment in Tikuna narratives
(isolate, Western Amazonia)
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### Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ngēmà</th>
<th>téré</th>
<th>ná = tá</th>
<th>‘The parrot is big.’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANAPH.NS</td>
<td>parrot(F)</td>
<td>3NS.SBJ=be.big</td>
<td>(typically a random parrot, e.g. a wild one I am hunting)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ngēmà</th>
<th>téré</th>
<th>ī = tá</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANAPH.F</td>
<td>parrot(F)</td>
<td>3F.SBJ=be.big</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>yīꞏemá</th>
<th>téré</th>
<th>tá = tá</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANAPH.S</td>
<td>parrot(F)</td>
<td>3S.SBJ=be.big</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘The parrot is big.’

(unspecified)

‘The parrot is big.’

(typically a special parrot, e.g. a pet or a character in a story)
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ngēmà  térer  ná = tá
ANAPH.NS  parrot(F)  3NS.SBJ=be.big

‘The parrot is big.’
(typically a random parrot, e.g. a wild one I am hunting)

ngēmà  térer  i = tá
ANAPH.F  parrot(F)  3F.SBJ=be.big

‘The parrot is big.’
(unspecified)

yī̀emà  térer  tá = tá
ANAPH.S  parrot(F)  3S.SBJ=be.big

‘The parrot is big.’
(typically a special parrot, e.g. a pet or a character in a story)

Discursive or ‘dynamic’ effects of agreement class (re)assignment

Semantic or ‘local’ effects of agreement class assignment
Introduction

**Question**

Most San Martín de Amacayacu Tikuna (SMAT) nouns can alternatively trigger agreement according to various agreement classes.

This flexibility is made use of with various *semantic* effects (*e.g.* specification of sex, affective connotations, etc.).

*Is it also made use of for primarily *discursive* purposes, and how?*

*A lot of work, no strong results, work in progress...*
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Main finding

In contexts where reference tracking is blurred (e.g. when two major referents have been initially assigned to the same agreement class based on lexical-semantic grounds), clarity can be restored through the reassignment of one of the referents to a distinct agreement class.

Agreement class reassignment in discourse for ease of reference tracking
Language and data

Morphosyntactic overview of the agreement classes

Overview of the semantic effects of agreement class assignment

Corpus and methodology for this study

Discourse functions of agreement class reassignment

Conclusion
Language and data
Tikuna: Amazonian language with most speakers (> 48 500 (Ethnologue) in Brazil, Colombia, and Peru)?

Relatively vital

Isolate (?), cf. Yuri-Tikuna-Caraballo hypothesis), little dialectal divergence

Heavily tonal

Fieldwork in San Martín de Amacayacu community (2015–2018, >6 months altogether) as part of PhD project

Ca. 35.000-word diversified corpus (>20 speakers) transcribed and translated with speakers
Colombie

Peru

Brésil

Manaós

San Martín de Amacayacu

Légende
- villes
- territoire tikuna
- principaux établissements tikuna

Dessin : D. Kergosien 2007
Source : Acosta Muñoz 1999
In Goulard 2009
Morphosyntactic overview of the agreement classes
Morphological paradigms of morphemes inflecting for agreement class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deictics</th>
<th>LK</th>
<th>NMLZ</th>
<th>Pronominal forms (3rd person)</th>
<th>Deictic verb (3SBJ)</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROX MED DIST</td>
<td>[-PST]</td>
<td>[+PST]</td>
<td>PRON</td>
<td>POSS</td>
<td>SBJ.IT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>dâ’è-</td>
<td>yî’è-</td>
<td>gâ’è-</td>
<td>yà</td>
<td>gà</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>dâ-</td>
<td>yî-</td>
<td>gâ-</td>
<td>yá</td>
<td>gá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>dâ-</td>
<td>yî-</td>
<td>gâ-</td>
<td>yà</td>
<td>gà</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ņå-</td>
<td>ngè-</td>
<td>yè-</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>gà</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>ņå-</td>
<td>ngè-</td>
<td>yè-</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>gà</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paradigms slightly simplified for clarity. S=Salientive; M=Masculine; N=Neuter; NS=Non-Salientive; F=Feminine.

A good deal of inflectional morphology (not agglutinative with e.g. a single marker for a given agreement class across all categories) => ≠ from other Western Amazonian languages, more Indo-European-like

The five agreement classes behave rather homogeneously from a morphological standpoint (although heterogeneous functions)
Morphological paradigms of morphemes inflecting for agreement class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deictics</th>
<th>LK</th>
<th>NMLZ</th>
<th>Pronominal forms (3rd person)</th>
<th>Deictic verb (3SBJ)</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROX MED DIST</td>
<td>[-PST]</td>
<td>[+PST]</td>
<td>PRON</td>
<td>POSS</td>
<td>SBJ.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>dā̀- yī̀- gū̀-</td>
<td>yà</td>
<td>gà</td>
<td>-'è</td>
<td>tû-/(tûmà-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>dā- yī- gū-</td>
<td>yá</td>
<td>gá</td>
<td>-kū</td>
<td>nû-/nô-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>dà- yī- gû-</td>
<td>yà</td>
<td>gà</td>
<td>-(‘û)nè</td>
<td>nû-/nô-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ņà- ngé- yè-</td>
<td>ī</td>
<td>gá</td>
<td>-'ů</td>
<td>nû-/nô-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>ņâ- ngé- yè-</td>
<td>ī</td>
<td>gá</td>
<td>-kû [-U]</td>
<td>ngî-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paradigms slightly simplified for clarity. S=Salientive; M=Masculine; N=Neuter; NS=Non-Salientive; F=Feminine.

(Near-)mergers => ambiguity for reference tracking
Example

(1)

Nû-mà ngê-mà kŏwú = rù tû-mà-kâ ní = iúkù.
PRON.M/N/NS-ANAPH LK.NS MED.NS-ANAPH deer(M)=TOP PRON.S-ANAPH-to 3M/N/NS.SBJ=go.in (nî= ná= /_i)

‘Thedeer, as for it, would go in to see her.’

[FW_I GS_Cuentos_M303]
Example

The agreement class of nouns is covert

Once a given agreement class is assigned (not necessarily the lexical one), agreement is rigid throughout the clause, otherwise agrammatical

Agreement marking is obligatory and pervasive => again, rather Indo-European-like
Overview of the semantic effects of agreement class assignment
SALIENTIVE

.lexical gender

FEMININE

NEUTER

MASCULINE

NON-SALIENTIVE

[+personal sphere]

(underspecified)

Social deixis

[–personal sphere]
téřé
‘parrot sp.’

**Lexical gender:** F
térē
‘parrot sp.’

Lexical gender: F

Merely referring to a parrot
**térē**
‘parrot sp.’

*Lexical gender:* **F**

Referring to a special parrot, *e.g.* a pet or a mythical parrot

---

**Diagram:***

- **SALIENTIVE**
  - **Lexical gender**
    - **Feminine**
    - **Neuter**
    - **Masculine**
  - **Social deixis**
    - **(+personal sphere)**
    - **(underspecified)**
    - **(−personal sphere)**

- **NON-SALIENTIVE**
téřé
‘parrot sp.’

**Lexical gender:** F

Referring to a random parrot, *e.g.* a wild one in the jungle
*térē*
‘parrot sp.’

**Lexical gender:** F

Referring to a specifically male parrot

- **Lexical gender**: F
- **Social deixis**: (underspecified)
- **Salientive**: [+personal sphere]
- **Non-salientive**: [−personal sphere]

**Lexical gender**: MASCULINE
**Non-salientive**: [−personal sphere]
**Salientive**: [+personal sphere]
**témā**
‘morcche palm tree/fruit’

**Lexical gender:** M
**témā**
‘moriche palm tree/fruit’

*Lexical gender: M*

Merely referring to a moriche palm
témâ
‘moriche palm tree/fruit’

Lexical gender: M

Referring to a special moriche palm, e.g. one I planted or a mythical one
témā
‘moriche palm tree/fruit’

*Lexical gender:* M

Referring to a random moriche palm, *e.g.* one far away in the jungle
māmā
‘mum’

Lexical gender: F
māmā
‘mum’

*Lexical gender: F*

Referring neutrally to sb’s mother (uncommon)
māmā
‘mum’

*Lexical gender: F*

Referring with respect or affection to sb’s mother (usual)
māmā
‘mum’

Lexical gender: F

Referring extremely rudely to sb’s mother (even agrammatical for some speakers?)
\textit{chǐ’nû}

‘pineapple fruit/plant’

\textit{Lexical gender: none}
**chǐ’nǔ**
‘pineapple fruit/plant’

**Lexical gender: none**

Referring neutrally to a pineapple fruit/plant
chǐⁿǔ
‘pineapple fruit/plant’

**Lexical gender:** none

Referring to a very special pineapple fruit/plant, *e.g.* one I planted with love
Semantic effects of agreement class assignment

• **Along social-deixis axis (vertical)**
  Insertion in vs exclusion from the deictic center’s personal sphere
  (in terms of: affection, respect, care, shared culture, ownership, etc. or the opposite)
  No social-deixis effect if agreement according to noun’s lexical gender

• **Along lexical gender axis (horizontal)**
  Sex specification in very specific cases if non-lexical gender
  Non-lexical gender is rare
Corpus and methodology for this study
1. Systematic encoding of agreement class of each occurrence of each referent (and other parameters, such as whether occurrence is in direct speech or not) across whole texts

2. Qualitative examination of all cases of agreement class reassignments to uncover possible patterns

**Corpus**
Exclusively narratives (5 out of 6 come from traditional repertoire, 1 is staged)

3 texts by LAR (♀, 49y/o) 18’45”
3 texts by JSG (♂, 33y/o) 24’15”
**TOTAL** 43’00”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>PETA-PETA</td>
<td>PERSONA (=Peta-Peta)</td>
<td>ANCESTROS</td>
<td>PERSONA (Peta-Peta)</td>
<td>COSA (=hacha)</td>
<td>HACHA (pëtı-pëtı/yëmä)</td>
<td>GENTE (=persona 2 entre otros)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(NS/M/N)</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS/M/N</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DiscDirect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discourse functions of agreement class *reassignment*
Although hard to quantify accurately, agreement class reassignment is not rare: at least 8 unambiguous cases in this corpus.

Unsurprisingly, all cases of reassignment involve a shift along the social-deixis (vertical) axis (not the lexical gender axis).

Among those 8 unambiguous cases, the patterns are:

- **M > S**: 4 cases
- **NS > S**: 2 cases
- **NS > M**: 2 cases
3 of these cases can probably be accounted for as the effect of a “semantic” shift:

• **NS > S [HuntingStory]:** a hunter spots a wild capybara (*wild live animal: NS*), then shoots it and puts it into his bag to take it back home (*owned meat: S*).

• **NS > M [Peta-Peta, direct speech]:** a man reviews a weird feathered thing lying on the path and wonders what it is (*unidentified entity: NS*), and then gradually realizes it is actually a man covered with feathers (*identified man: M*).

• **NS > M [Hunter & Jaguar]:** a hunter spots some wild monkeys (*wild live animal: NS*), then watches them for a long time (*no longer random entities: NS > M?*) waiting for the right moment to shoot them.
But interestingly, the remaining 5 cases of reassignment seem to have no semantic basis and display a recurring narrative and morphosyntactic pattern:

1) A first referent is introduced with agreement class NS or M (whose paradigms exhibit several mergers); it remains the deictic center for some time
2) A second referent is introduced also with agreement class NS or M; it remains the new deictic center for some time
3) The two referents start to interfere and are thus now both simultaneously highly salient; their agreement classes do not allow unambiguous reference tracking; the more S-compatible of the two referents is permanently reassigned to S (whose paradigms are unique); unambiguous reference tracking is restored
Peta-Peta was a man who made blow noises in the forest.

A Tikuna man went to see what the noise was, saw that it was a man felling trees with the help of an interesting object, and devised a plan to steal that object from the man, covering his body with feathers and lying on the man’s way to startle him.

The man (Peta-Peta) arrived, was surprised at that feathered thing, and reviewed it from all sides, until the Tikuna man suddenly farted to startle the man (Peta-Peta) and ran away with the object.

The man (Peta-Peta) ordered the Tikunas to make open fields, since they had stolen his axe, and the Tikuna started to do open field agriculture.

Crucially, Peta-Peta is not a supernatural being and remains a stranger to the Tikunas

=> less S-compatible than the Tikuna man
Example 2

In Iya-Iya’s story, by contrast, it is a Tikuna boy (assigned M) who comes to interfere with the stranger Iya-Iya (assigned NS). It is then Iya-Iya (not the Tikuna boy) who is reassigned to S.

Crucially, Iya-Iya is a supernatural being who ends up living among the Tikunas for some time => more S-compatible than the young boy (ordinary young people are usually not assigned S)
Most likely no semantic effect of these cases of reassignment, only discursive function of restoring unambiguous reference tracking

Interestingly, agreement class reassignments may apparently occur on any kind of morpheme, *i.e.* it is not the case that there are morpheme types that are more apt than others to be the locus of the first occurrence of a new assignment
Conclusion
The flexibility of the SMAT agreement class system allows for its use as a strategy to resolve reference tracking ambiguity caused by widespread morphological mergers between agreement classes NS and M.

Reassignment to S, an agreement class originally related to high social and cultural salience, is made use of as a mere strategy to “extract” a referent from ambiguous reference tracking.

Much more extensive corpus-based work is needed to confirm or disprove this analysis of one of the functions of the flexibility of the SMAT agreement class system, but I’m relatively confident that these non-semantic reassignment strategies are not an artefact from my corpus.